



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			-	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/788,514	02/21/2001	Yukihiro Abiko	826.1680/JDH	7937
21171	7590 01/26/20	05	EXAMINER	
~	AAS & HALSEY LLP ITE 700 I NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		AZAD, ABUL K	
-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005		2654	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/788,514	ABIKO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ABUL K. AZAD	2654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
Period for Reply	(IO OET TO EVOIDE A MONTH	(a) FBOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oc	ctober 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	÷ • • •				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
2)		atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the Amendment filed on October 20, 2004.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are pending in this action. Claims 1, 2, 9 and 16 have been amended.
- 3. The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. For examiner's response to the applicant's arguments or comments, see the detailed discussion in the Response to the Arguments section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-6, 9-13 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Taniguchi et al. (US 6,484,137).

As per claim 1, Taniguchi teaches, "a data reproduction device for reproducing compressed multimedia data, including audio data", comprising:

Art Unit: 2654

"an extraction unit extracting a frame, which is unit data of the audio data" (Fig. 14, element 101 "frame unpacking means");

"a speed conversion unit thinning out the frame of the audio data or repeatedly outputting the frame prior to decoding of the audio data or with the audio data compressed" (Fig. 14, element 12-1-2 and Fig. 26, element "decoded said information"); and

"a reproduction unit decoding the frame of the audio data received from the conversion unit and reproducing voice" (Fig. 26, element "decoded said information" and "audio output").

As per claim 2, Taniguchi teaches, "a data reproduction device for reproducing compressed multimedia data, including audio data and also converting reproduction speed without decoding compressed audio data", comprising:

"an extraction unit extracting a frame, which is unit data of the audio data" (Fig. 14, element 101 "frame unpacking means");

"a setting unit setting the reproduction speed of the audio data" (Fig. 1, element 2, playback speed detector);

"a speed conversion unit thinning out the frame of the audio data or repeatedly outputting the frame prior to decoding of the audio data or with the audio data compressed" (Fig. 14, element 12-1-2 and Fig. 26, element "decoded said information"); and

Art Unit: 2654

"a reproduction unit decoding the frame of the audio data received from the speed conversion unit and reproducing voice" (Fig. 26, element "decoded said information" and "audio output").

As per claim 3, Taniguchi teaches, "wherein the audio data are MPEG audio data" (Fig. 14, element "MPEG Audio Bitstream").

As per claim 4, Taniguchi teaches, "a scale factor extraction unit extracting a scale factor included in the frame" (col. 25, lines 18-67);

"a calculation unit calculating the scale factor" (col. 25, lines 56-67); and
"a control unit comparing a calculation result of the calculation unit with a
prescribed threshold value and controlling not to transmit a corresponding frame to said
reproduction unit if the calculation result is smaller than the threshold value" (col. 26,
lines 1-21).

As per claim 5, Taniguchi teaches, "wherein said calculation unit calculates total of a plurality of scale factors included in the frame" (col. 25, lines 56-67).

As per claim 6, Taniguchi teaches, "a scale factor conversion unit generating a scale factor conversion coefficient for compensating for a discontinuous fluctuation of an acoustic pressure caused in a joint between frames, calculating the scale factor and scale factor conversion coefficient and inputting them as data to be decoded to said reproduction unit if a plurality of scale factors included in the frame are reproduced by said reproduction unit" (col. 26, lines 22-45).

As per claims 9-13 and 16-20, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-6.

Art Unit: 2654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7-8, 14-15 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taniguchi et al. (US 6,484,137) as applied to claims 2, 9 and 16 above, and further in view of Okada et al. (US 5,809,454).

As per claim 7 and 8, Taniguchi does not explicitly teach, "which receives multimedia data, including both video data and audio data", further comprising:

"a separation unit breaking down the multimedia data into both video data and audio data";

"a decoding unit decoding the video data"; and

"a video reproduction unit reproducing the video data";

"wherein each piece of the video data and audio data is structured as MPEG data".

However, Okada teaches, "which receives multimedia data, including both video data and audio data" (col. 5, lines 48-64), further comprising:

"a separation unit breaking down the multimedia data into both video data and audio data" (Fig. 1, element 13, DMUX);

"a decoding unit decoding the video data" (Fig. 1, element 12, MPEG video decoder); and

Art Unit: 2654

"a video reproduction unit reproducing the video data" (Fig. 1, element 22, display).

Okada also teaches, "wherein each piece of the video data and audio data is structured as MPEG data" (col. 5, lines 48-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to receive multimedia MPEG data including both video data and audio data and reproduced video data as teach by Okada in the invention of Taniguchi's MPEG audio reproduction device/method because Okada teaches his invention capable of reducing the time lag between the generation of voices and the movement of moving pictures, and video decoder to produce a naturalistic output (col. 3, lines 59-67).

Claims 14-15 and 21-22, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 7-8.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant has amended independent claims by adding limitations "prior to decoding of the audio data or with the audio data compressed", the added limitation changes the scope of the claims 1-22. Applicant's argued limitation teaches by newly found prior art Taniguchi et al. (see claims rejection above). Therefore, applicant's arguments are not deemed to be persuasive.

Art Unit: 2654

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abul K. Azad whose telephone number is (703) 305-3838.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richemond Dorvil**; can be reached at **(703) 305-9645.**

Art Unit: 2654

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

(For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center's Customer Service Office at telephone number (703) 306-0377. Ar AGO

Abul K. Azad

January 15, 2005